

Research Note

A model calculation of photofield emission by using a simple vector potential

R. K. Thapa^{1*}, M. P. Ghimire¹, Rosangliana², Sandeep¹ and Lalmuanpuia¹

¹ Department of Physics, Mizoram University, Aizawl 796009, India ² Department of Physics, Govt. Zirtiri Residential Science College, Aizawl 796001, India

Received 3 April 2010 | Revised 7 April 2010 | Accepted 9 April 2010

ABSTRACT

A simple model of photofield emission calculation is discussed. A spatially dependent vector potential is used to evaluate the matrix element for calculating the photofield emission current density. We have presented a model in which Projection Operator method of group theory has been applied to deduce initial state wave function Ψ_i for a particular surface state pertaining to a particular point group. The model will also take into account the bulk and the surface state bands of different symmetry and also considers the effect of variation of vector potential. We have considered the point Δ_2 ' for which the point group is $C_{4\nu}$ which corresponds to the surface states. By introducing the atomic orbital Φ (z), the final form of initial state wavefunction is calculated.

Key words: Photofield emission; Projection Operator; vector potential; wavefunction.

INTRODUCTION

Photofield emission (*PFE*) is a novel investigative method in which a metal is irradiated by a laser radiation of photon energy $\hbar\omega$. Photon energy is usually less than the workfunction (ϕ) of the metal under investigation. The incident radiation photo excites the electrons to a final state which lies below the vacuum level; hence these electrons are confined within the metal surface. A strong static electric field of the order of 10¹¹ V/m when applied to the surface of the metal causes the photoexcited electrons to tunnel through the surface potential barrier into the vacuum region which then constitutes the photofield emission current (PFEC).

In *PFE*, in addition to transmission probability *D* (*W*), the transition matrix element $\langle \psi_r | Ap + p.A | \psi_i \rangle$ also plays an important role. In this matrix element, we find that the important ingredients are the vector potential *A*, initial state wave function Ψ_i and final state wave function Ψ_f . There are several methods¹⁻⁷ of deducing the initial state wavefunction Ψ_i and the exactness of the model developed or used can hence correctly interpret the results in *PFE* calculations.

In this report, we are presenting a model for the calculation of PFEC in which Ψ_i is deduced by projection operator method of group theory.

METHODS

Corresponding author: R . K. Thapa Tel. +91-0389-2328044 Fax. +91-0389-2330522 E-mail: r.k.thapa@gmail.com

A *p*-polarised radiation of photon energy $\hbar\omega$

is considered to be incident on the metal surface. The surface normal is defined by the *z*-axis which is perpendicular to the *xy*-plane. The incident radiation of a laser beam causes the transition of electrons from the initial state Ψ_i to final state Ψ_f . We consider the electron states to be lying below the Fermi level, and final states are states in the vacuum (detector). Therefore, the photofield emission current density formula can be written⁸ as,

$$\frac{dj}{dE} = -\frac{e^3}{2\hbar^4\omega^3} \frac{n}{\Omega} \left(\hat{\varepsilon}.\hat{z}\right)^2 f\left(E - \hbar\omega\right) \int_{-V_o + \hbar\omega}^{E} dW \frac{D(W) \left|M_{j}\right|^2}{\left[W(W - \hbar\omega)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
(1)

where,

$$\frac{n}{\Omega} (\hat{\varepsilon}.\hat{z})^2 = \left| \frac{A_{\omega}^z(z)}{A_0} \right|^2 = \left| \widetilde{A}_{\omega}(z) \right|^2$$

and $A_{\omega}^{z}(z)$ is the z-component of vector potential along z-axis with frequency ω , A_0 is the amplitude of vector potential associated with the incident radiation, $f(E-\hbar\omega)$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function. The matrix element M_{fi} when expanded in one dimension along zaxis is given by,

$$\begin{split} M_{fi} &= \\ \int_{-d}^{0} \psi_{f}^{*} A_{\omega}^{z}(z)(z) \frac{dV}{dz} \psi_{i} dz + \int_{-d}^{0} \psi_{f}^{*} \frac{d^{2} A_{\omega}^{z}(z)}{dz^{2}} \left(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dz}\right) \psi_{i} dz + \\ \int_{-d}^{0} \psi_{f}^{*} \frac{dA_{\omega}^{z}(z)}{dz} \left(-\hbar^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}}\right) \psi_{i} dz + \int_{-d}^{0} \psi_{f}^{*} \frac{dA_{\omega}^{z}(z)}{dz} \psi_{i} dz. \end{split}$$

$$(2)$$

To compute the vector potential $A_{\omega}^{z}(z)$, we will employ the dielectric model as discussed by Thapa *et al.*⁹ which takes into account the dependence of vector potential not only on frequency ω , but also on the *z*-axis. Therefore, the vector potential for the surface region ($-d \le z \le 0$) in one dimension is given by,⁹

$$\widetilde{A}_{\omega}(z) = \frac{A_{\omega}^{z}(z)}{A_{o}} = \frac{-\sin\theta_{i}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} p \left[1 + \frac{\xi^{3}}{6} + \alpha \left(\xi + \frac{\xi^{4}}{12} \right) \right], (3)$$

where *p* and α are constants and $\zeta = f(z)$. In the above equation, θ_i is the angle of incident photon radiation with respect to the surface normal.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The transmission probability D(W) in Eq. 1, which describes the quantum mechanical transmission probability that the photoexcited electrons with energy

$$E = W + \frac{\hbar^2 . k_{\parallel}^2}{2m}$$

will travel across the surface potential barrier which is deformed by the applied electrostatic field and the image potential barrier. Here W is the normal component of energy E. D(W) used in Eq. (1) is obtained by solving the Airy's differential equation⁹ and is given by,

$$D(W) = \frac{W^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{\pi}}{(\hbar eF)_{6}^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left(\frac{2ik_{i}}{ik_{i} + \chi}\right) (2m)^{\frac{1}{12}} \exp\left[-i\left(\frac{2}{3}\frac{W^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar eF} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right]$$
(4)

The final state wavefunction Ψ_f used is the scattering state of the step potential which is encountered by the electron at the surface. Step potential is defined by $V_z = -V_0 \ \theta(z)$, where $\theta(z)$ is unit function such that $\theta(z) = 1(0)$ for z > 0 (z < 0). Ψ_f is, therefore, given by,

$$\Psi_{f}(z) = \left\{ \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^{2}q_{f}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2q_{f}}{q_{f}+k_{f}} e^{-\alpha|z|} e^{i\frac{k}{2}z} \cdot e^{i\frac{k}{2}\cdot r_{f}}, \ z < 0 \ (b \ u \ l\& \ su \ rfa \ c)e^{i\frac{k}{2}\cdot r_{f}}, \ z < 0 \ (b \ u \ l\& \ su \ rfa \ c)e^{i\frac{k}{2}\cdot r_{f}}, \ z < 0 \ (va \ cu \ u \)n,$$

$$\left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^{2}q_{f}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[e^{i\frac{qz}{4}} + \frac{q_{f}-k_{f}}{q_{f}+k_{f}} e^{-i\frac{q}{2}z} \right] \cdot e^{i\frac{k}{2}\cdot r_{f}}, \ z > 0 \ (va \ cu \ u \)n,$$

$$(5)$$

where,

$$k_f^2 = \frac{2mE_f}{\hbar^2} - k_{||}^2 \; ; \; q_f^2 = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \left(E_f + V_0 \right) - k_{||}^2$$

Science Vision © 2010 MIPOGRASS. All rights reserved.

32

Thapa et al.

and

$$E_f = E_i + \hbar \alpha$$

In Eq. (5), the factor $e^{-\alpha|z|}$ is included on the surface and bulk side to take into accounts the inelastic scattering of the electrons.

To calculate the initial state wavefunction Ψ_i , we have assumed the crystal potential to be defined by δ -potential and represented by the Kronig-Penney potential. The potential is periodic with the periodicity of the lattice as shown in Figure 1. In one dimension, one can write Ψ_i as,

$$\psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} \psi(z) + R\psi^*(z) & z \le 0 \text{ (bulk \& surface)} \\ T e^{-\chi z} & z \ge 0 \text{ (vacuum)} \end{cases}$$

(6)

where $\Psi^*(z)$ is the complex conjugate of $\Psi(z)$, R is the reflection coefficient and T is the transmission coefficient across the boundary plane. Matching the wavefunction and its derivatives at z = 0 gives the value of coefficients R and T as,

$$R = \frac{-\chi + \mu - ik}{\chi - \mu - ik} \tag{7}$$

and
$$T = \sigma \left(\frac{-2ik}{-\chi - \mu - ik} \right)$$
 (8)

where
$$\sigma = \frac{p}{k_i a} \psi(0) \frac{\sin k_i a}{\cos k a - \cos k_i a}$$
 (9)

and
$$\psi(0) = -2iC \frac{\sin k_i a}{1 + \cos k_i a - i \sin k_i a}$$
 (10)

Here p is the strength of the δ -potential barrier and it is assumed to be positive and μ is used as converging factor.

For W (100), surface state occurs¹⁰ in the energy band gap ($\Delta_2 - \Delta_2'$). The linear combination of atomic orbital (*LCAO*) representation for Δ_2' is

$$\frac{1}{3}(3z^2 - r^2)$$
, where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$

We have, therefore, considered the point Δ_2 ' for which the point group is $C_{4\nu}$. The basis function for the $C_{4\nu}$ point group corresponding to W(100) surface state by using projection operator formula¹¹ is given by,

$$\mathbf{P}_{m}^{p} = \frac{l_{p}}{g} \sum_{T} \Gamma^{p}(T)_{m}^{*} P(T)$$
(11)

Here l_p is the dimension of the unitary irreducible representation of the group G, g is order of G and \sum_{τ}^{γ} is the summation over all the transformation T of G.

Now introducing the atomic orbital Φ (z), which includes the basis function derived by projection operator method of group theory obtained from Eq. (11), the final form of initial state wavefunction can be represented by,

$$\psi_{i}(z) = \begin{cases} \sigma[\Phi(z)e^{ikz} + R\Phi^{*}(z)e^{-ikz}], & z \le 0\\ T e^{-zz}, & z \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
(12)

The initial state wavefunction given by Eq. (12) can be used in conjunction with final state wavefunction Ψ_f given by Eq. (5) and vector potential A_{ω} (z) of Eq. (3), to calculate photofield emission current given by Eq. (1).

CONCLUSIONS

A model photofield emission calculation has been presented in the context of a periodic type Kronig-Penny potential model which considers the band state of the electron. *PFE calculation* by using a free electron model as used by Gao and Reifenberger¹², and also by Thapa *et al.*¹³ in the case of *W* is not a fitting type of potential as *W* is a strongly bonded metal. Further, they have considered the free electron approximation in which the bulk crystal potential is empty. Also



Figure 1. Schematic representation of Kronig-Penney δ -potential model for calculating the initial state wave function.

the initial state wave function describing the band states had been used also for the surface states especially in the expansion of matrix element given by Eq. (3) in the case of W (100). Here we have presented a model in which Projection Operator method of group theory has been applied to deduce initial state wave function Ψ_i for a particular surface state pertaining to a particular point group. The model which we have presented here will also take into account the bulk and the surface state bands of different symmetry and also considers the effect of variation of vector potential.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

RKT acknowledges a research grant and SD a research fellowship (JRF) from DAE (BRNS), Mumbai, India.

REFERENCES

- Pendry JB (1974). Low Energy Electron Diffraction: the Theory and it Application to Determination of Surface Structure. Academic Press, London, New York, pp. 153-220.
- Pendry JB (1976). Theory of photoemission. Surf Sci, 57, 679-705.
- 3. Bagchi A (1974). Theory of surface effect in photoas-

sisted field emission. Phys Rev, B 10, 542-553.

- Modinos A (1976). A Green function technique for calculating total energy distributions of field-emitted electrons. J Phys C Solid State Phys, 9, 3867-3876.
- Ernst A, Henk J & Thapa RK (2005). Ultrathin antiferromagnetic films on a ferromagnetic substrate: a first-principles study of Mn on Fe(001). *J Phys Condens Mat*, **17**, 3269-3283.
- Durham PJ (1981). Theory of photoemission from random alloys. J Phys Met Phys, F 11, 2475-2493.
- Haggmann MJ (1997). Simulations of laser-assisted field emission within the local density approximation of Kohn-Sham density-functional theory. Int J Quantum Chemistry, 65, 857-865.
- 8. Gao Y (1986). Photofield Emission Study of Metal Surfaces. Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University, Purdue.
- Thapa RK, Gurung SR, Das G & Bhattacharjee R (2006). Calculations of photocurrent in Al and Be by using the free electron potential model for the crystal. *Indian J Phys*, **80**, 361-366.
- Kar N (1976). A Theoretical Study of Effects of Surface States and Resonances on Field Emission. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pennsylvania.
- Cornwell JF (1969). Group Theory and Electronic Energy Band in Solids Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp.54-288.
- Gao Y & Reifenberger R (1987). Photofield emission from transition-metal surface states. *Phys Rev*, B 35, 4284-4290.
- Thapa RK & Gunakar Das (2005). A simple theory of photofield emission from the surface of a Metal. *Int J Mod Phys*, **B 19**, 3141-3149.