

Association of tobacco use, betel consumption and gastric cancer in Mizoram

Lalpawimawha¹, B. Lalruatfela^{2*}, Saia Chenkual³, Zothansanga Ralte³, Thomas Zomuana³, S. T. Ruatfela³ and Willie Lalhruaitluanga³

¹Department of Statistics, Pachhunga University College, Aizawl 796001, India ²Department of Zoology, Mizoram University, Aizawl 796004, India ³Department of Surgery, Civil Hospital, Aizawl 796001, India

Received 3 April 2015 | Revised 28 April 2015 | Accepted 10 May 2015

ABSTRACT

Cancer is a disease that affects the livelihood of humankind for ages. Dietary habit and lifestyle have been attributed to the development of many forms of cancer including gastric cancer. The state of Mizoram located in the northeastern India has been recorded to be a cancer prone area. Most Mizo people indulge in the use of smoke and smokeless tobacco and betel chewing. A study in this high cancer incidence zone is necessary to determine the actual cause of the disease. In this study, we evaluate the influence of tobacco use and betel consumption on gastric cancer. We conclude that individuals with a family history of cancer who consumed betel, smoke and smokeless tobacco have a higher risk of gastric cancer. We also found an association between increased risk of gastric cancer and consumption of betel or *sahdah* alone and betel with *sahdah*.

Key words: Betel; gastric cancer; Mizoram; multiple logistic regression; tobacco.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer has always been a major health problem all over the world. In 2012, an estimated 14.1 million cases of cancer were diagnosed.¹ Gastric cancer is known to be the fourth most common form of cancer and second leading cause of death from cancer worldwide.² Among male, gastric cancer have been found to be highest in the population of Changle in China whereas among female, it is highest in the population of Yamagata in Japan.³ The etiology of gastric cancer is not singly but multi-factorial.⁴ Many studies have implicated *Helicobacter pylori* and Epstein-Barr virus infection, alcohol, tobacco and diet as co-factors for its development. Diet low in vegetable and fruits and high in salt, high temperature cooked meat and preserved foods have been known to increase the risk of gastric cancer,^{5.9} however other studies have the opposite conclusion.^{10,11} Underweight or low

CC BY-SA 4.0 International

Corresponding author: Lalruatfela Phone: +91-9862292744 E-mail: <u>b.atetea@gmail.com</u>

body mass index (BMI) has also been attributed to be associated with increased risk of gastric cancer.¹²

In India gastric cancer is most prevalent in the state of Mizoram. An age-adjusted rate of 42.9 and 20.5 per 10^5 population have been computed for male and female respectively.^{13,14} The dietary pattern and lifestyle of the people of Mizoram are rather different than that of other parts of the country. Some of the indigenous food of the Mizo contain smoked and fermented meats and vegetables, and the use of alkali in the form of soda for the preparation of local food called "Bai" is fairly common.¹⁵ Smoke and smokeless tobacco have also been used by many of the Mizo. Phukan et al. in 2005 had shown that the use of tobacco in smoke and smokeless form had increased the risk of gastric cancer in Mizoram.³ In this study, the association of tobacco use and betel (areca nut + betel leaf) consumption as causative factors for gastric cancer among the ethnic group of the Mizo has been analyzed. The Mizo generally smoked cigarette (company packed) and zozial (local cigarette), and also use smokeless tobacco like tuibur (tobacco brew) and sahdah (ground/shredded tobacco) viz,. khaini, raja, etc.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A case-control study has been carried out at Civil hospital, Aizawl, Mizoram, Mizoram State Cancer Institute and other private hospitals as well as at private houses of patients or relatives within Aizawl, Lunglei and Kolasib, Mizoram. The study included a case group of 41 individuals suffering from gastric cancer diagnosed and pathologically confirmed during 15th October, 2014-20th March, 2015. The control group consisted of 120 individuals who, at the time of the interview, did not suffer from any form of cancer. The controls were individually matched to the cases by gender and age (±5 years). The ratio of cases and controls was 1:3.

After obtaining written consent, the participants were interviewed using structured questionnaire approved by Mizoram State Ethical Committee. The questionnaire includes the dietary habit, lifestyle and family history of cancer of the participants. In cases where the patients were unable to comply, the relatives were allowed to give consent and information on behalf of the patients. Cancer patients were asked to advert about their diet and lifestyle habits before the disease was diagnosed.

Chi square (χ^2) –test and multiple logistic regression (enter and stepwise method) were employed to calculate the differences between proportions. The level of significance was set at 5%, and odds ratio (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to exposures of interest were calculated. All calculations were performed with Software R version 2.10.1 program.

RESULTS

The distribution of socio-demographic variables and selected risk factors among the cases and controls is shown in Table 1. The level of education of the cases is significantly lower, mostly residing in villages and their occupation is generally non-governmental (private occupation).

Though the consumption of betel is not found to be significant, it has been calculated to offer a chance of increasing gastric cancer (Table 2). There is a statistically significant relationship between gastric cancer and combined consumption of betel with sahdah (Table 3). The dose of tuibur use has also been found to have a higher chance to increase the risk of gastric cancer eventhough it is not statistically significant (Table 4). The consumption and duration of alcohol drinking are also pondarable to initiate gastric cancer and are calculated to be statistically significant. Though the years in which the individual has stopped using alcohol (year since stopped) is not significant, it still provide a chance to increase the risk of gastric cancer (Table 6). The combined use of smoking, betel, tuibur and sahdah and its frequency have higher significant influenced to increase the risk of gastric cancer (Table 7). Family history of cancer

Association of tobacco use, betel consumption and gastric cancer

Variable	Category	Case	Control	Total	χ ²
Gender	Male	20	63	83	0.169
	Female	21	57	78	0.681(match)
Age	≤ 45	13	26	39	1.678
-	≥ 46	28	94	122	0.195(match)
Residence	Urban	16	71	87	4.992
	Rural	25	49	74	< 0.025
Education	≤ HSLC	24	45	69	5.522
	>HSLC	17	75	92	< 0.019
Occupation	Govt	26	50	76	5.800
-	Private	15	70	85	< 0.016

Table 1. Distribution of cases and controls by selected socio-demographic factors.

Table 2. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to betel consumption.

Variable	Category	C	ases	Con	trols	OR - (95 % CI) P for trend
Variable	-	n	%	n	%	
Betel	yes	22	53.66	81	67.5	5.315 - (0.997 -28.338)
Detei	no	19	46.34	39	32.5	0.050
Dose (piece per	≤500	15	36.59	42	35.0	0.706
month)	>500	26	63.41	78	65.0	0.490
Frequency (per day)	≤10	8	19.51	33	27.5	1.151 (0.420 -3.157)
	>10	33	80.49	87	72.5	.784
Duration of chewing	≤15	18	43.90	70	58.3	0.809
(year)	>15	23	56.10	50	41.7	0.741
Year since stopped	≤10	12	29.27	48	40.0	0.913 - (0.386 -2.159)
(year)	>10	29	70.73	72	60.0	0.836

Lalpawimawha et al.

		Ca	ses	Controls		OR
Variable	Category	n	%	n	%	(95% CI) P for trend
	yes	18	43.90	73	60.83	13.793
Betel with <i>sahdah</i>	no	23	56.10	47	39.17	(2.952 - 64.448) < 0.001
Dose (times per	≤100	10	24.39	50	41.67	3.231
month)	>100	31	75.61	70	58.33	(0.899 -11.615) .072
Frequency (times per	≤10	12	29.27	36	30.00	0.407
day)	>10	29	70.73	84	70.00	(0.110 -1.505) 0.178
	≤15	10	24.39	45	37.50	1.510
Duration (year)	>15	31	75.61	75	62.50	(0.431 - 5.294) 0.519
Year since stopped	≤10	11	26.83	37	30.83	2.176
(year)	>10	30	73.17	83	69.17	(0.585 - 8.100) 0.246

Table 3. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to betel with *sahdah* consumption

Table 4. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to the use of *tuibur* (tobacco brew)

		Ca	ses	Cor	ntrols	OR
Variable	Category	n	%	n	%	(95% CI) P for trend
	yes	17	41.46	58	48.33	2.777
Betel with sahdah	no	24	58.54	62	51.67	(0.662 - 11.644) 0.163
Dose (times per	≤1000	7	17.07	22	18.33	1.466
month)	>1000	34	82.93	98	81.67	(0.498 - 4.309) 0.487
Frequency (times per	≤10	13	31.71	41	34.17	0.725
day)	>10	28	68.29	79	65.83	(0.225 - 2.332) 0.590
	≤15	10	24.39	39	32.50	1.309
Duration (year)	>15	31	75.61	81	67.50	(0.450 -3.810) 0.621
Year since stopped (year)	≤10	4	9.76	29	24.17	1.073
	>10	37	90.24	91	75.83	(0.385 -2.988) 0.893

Association of tobacco use, betel consumption and gastric cancer

		Ca	ses	Cor	ntrols	OR
Variable	Category	n	%	n	%	(95% Cl) P for trend
	Smoker	20	48.78	79	65.8	1.194
Betel with sahdah	Non- smoker	21	51.22	41	34.2	(0.235 - 6.074) 0.831
Dose (times per	≤1000	15	36.59	70	58.3	.419
month)	>1000	26	63.41	50	41.7	(0.075 - 2.348) 0.323
Frequency (times per	≤10	10	24.39	56	46.7	7.385
day)	>10	31	75.61	64	53.3	(0.542 -100.571) 0.133
	≤15	11	26.83	59	49.2	10.974
Duration (year)	>15	30	73.17	61	50.8	(0.921 - 130.787) 0.058
Year since stopped (year)	≤10	9	21.95	55	45.8	0.599
	>10	32	78.05	65	54.2	(0.067 -5.384) 0.648

Table 5. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to smoking habit

Table 6. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to alcohol drinking habit

		Cá	ases	Controls		OR
Variable	Category	n	%	n	%	(95% Cl) P for trend
	yes	19	46.34	42	35.0	0.109
Betel with sahdah	no	22	53.66	78	65.0	(0.014-0.825) < 0.032
Dose (times per month)	≤20	10	24.39	26	21.7	1.407
	>20	31	75.61	94	78.3	(0.405-4.894) 0.591
Frequency (times per	≤0.5	16	39.02	31	25.8	1.397
day)	>0.5	25	60.98	89	74.2	(0.319-6.110) 0.657
	≤15	10	24.39	28	23.3	1.200
Duration (year)	>15	31	75.61	92	76.7	(0.273-5.283) < 0.002
Year since stopped (year)	≤10	9	21.95	33	27.5	11.607
	>10	32	78.05	87	72.5	(2.401-56.120) 0.055

Lalpawimawha et al.

		Ca	ses	Cor	ntrols	OR
Variable	Category	n	%	n	%	(95% CI) P for trend
	yes	16	39.02	53	44.17	0.068
Betel with sahdah	no	25	60.98	67	55.83	(0.008 – 0.609) < 0.016
Dose (times per	≤100	9	21.95	29	24.17	1.975
month)	>100	32	78.05	91	75.83	(0. 368 – 10.611) 0.427
Frequency (times per	≤5	10	24.39	48	40.00	18.872
day)	>5	31	75.61	72	60.00	(4.998 – 71. 257) < 0.000
	≤10	7	17.07	43	35.83	1.689
Duration (year)	>10	34	82.93	77	64.17	(0.046 – 1.492) 0.625
Year since stopped (year)	≤5	13	31.71	44	36.67	1.401
	>5	28	68.29	76	63.33	(0.120 – 16.421) 0.778

Table 7. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to combine use of *tuibur*, betel, *sahdah* and smoking

Table 8. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) for gastric cancer in relation to BMI and family history of cancer

Variable		Cases		Сог	ntrols	OR
	Category	n	%	n	%	(95% CI) P for trend
BMI	≤18	16	39.02	43	35.83	0.627
	>18	25	60.98	77	64.17	(0.265 – 1.487) .771
Family history of	Yes	17	41.46	56	46.67	28.238
cancer	No	24	58.54	64	53.33	(10.704– 74.492) <0.000

Table 9. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for gastric cancer by Stepwise method

Steps	Variable	SE	P for trend	OR	95% CI
1	Betel with sahdah	0.430	0.000	26.333	11.327 – 61.220
1	Constant	0.299	0.000	0.250	-
	Betel with sahdah	0.778	0.000	65.249	14.203 – 299.751
2	Family history of cancer	0.823	0.000	68.506	13.652 – 343.774
-	Constant	0.726	0.000	0.032	-

also has also been found to play a crucial role to increase the risk of gastric cancer and has been calculated to be statistically significant at 95% confidence interval (Table 8).

Further, multiple logistic regression analysis of risk of gastric cancer by step wise method (forward wald) to identify the most significant variables having significant influence and are indispensible to the study of the risk of gastric cancer established that only two variables, that is, family history of cancer and consumption of betel with *sahdah* have been computed to have significant impact on the risk of developing gastric cancer (Table 9). Here, alcohol drinking, duration of alcohol drinking and combined use of smoking, betel, *tuibur* and *sahdah* are excluded.

DISCUSSION

Tobacco has been known to cause cancer in many parts of the body.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Studies have shown that persons smoking a pack of cigarette per day showed 50% increased in colon cancer than non -smokers and those who discontinued smoking remained at increased risk even if they stopped very early. The amount smoked may have been a more important factor than the number of years smoked. The interaction of tobacco with alcohol has also been found to be a powerful carcinogen.^{19, 20} Tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and betel quid chewing have been observed to significantly increased the risk of lung cancer.^{21, 22} Lung, laryngeal and pharyngeal cancers have highest relative risk for current smokers than former smokers.²³ Smokeless tobacco has also been attributed to the increased risk of many tobacco related cancers.²⁴ Some studies have established a protective effect for terminated smoking.²⁵ Among tobacco users, many genes have been observed to show differences in their expression pattern. Some genes are up regulated while others are down regulated.²⁶ Methylation of RAR-B gene has been found to be positively associated with the use of tobacco in non-small cell lung cancer.²⁷

Areca nut is a confirmed group I carcinogen.

A study on animal model has shown that areca nut can induce stomach cancer. Initial exposure to areca nut up regulated the expression of p53, bax, securin, p38, MKP-1 phosphatase and p65 while other cell cycle check point proteins were down regulated. The presence of lime in betel quid consumption has been featured in promoting cell proliferation and hence developed cancer earlier.^{28, 29} The consumption of betel with or without tobacco has been known to elevate the risk of developing oral cancer.³⁰ Chiang *et al.,* (2008) has found that betel chewing has posed a much higher risk of oral cancer than alcohol drinking and cigarette smoking.³¹

Our study has shown similar results to the work done by Phukan et al..3 We have observed a higher risk of gastric cancer for people with a family history of cancer. Although the consumption of betel alone does not have a significant relationship with gastric cancer, we have found a significant association between increased risk of gastric cancer and combined consumption of betel with sahdah. Drinking of alcohol has also been calculated to be statistically significant. Though our statistics have shown that the dose and duration of *tuibur* usage as not significant, it clearly has a positive impact on an increased risk of gastric cancer. The combined use and frequency of smoking, betel, sahdah and tuibur have been shown to have a higher significant relationship to the increase risk of gastric cancer. Even though most studies stated tobacco, areca nut and alcohol as carcinogenic, to draw conclusion on their involvement in gastric carcinogenesis may be very bias as many factors may be responsible for the induction of gastric cancer. A drawback in our study is that the cases and controls were not interviewed under the same condition. Many of the controls were interviewed at the hospitals while the controls are mainly interviewed at their residences. A detail analysis on the genomic differences between the Mizo ethnic group and other ethnic groups to determine the exact cause of the highly elevated cases of the different forms of cancer in this particular ethnicity, which maybe a result of inbreeding, would be an interesting line of investigation.

Lalpawimawha et al.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank all the participants, V.L. Hmangaihzuali Sailo, Judith Lalthafamkimi, Jackie F. Lalruatfela, Mizoram State Ethical Committee, Dr. Jeremy L. Pautu and the Director of Mizoram State Cancer Institute, Aizawl, Mizoram.

REFERENCES

- Cancer Facts & Figures 2014 (2014). American Cancer Society, 250 Williams Street, NW, Atlanta, GA 30303–1002, pp. 57–58.
- Pandey A, Tripathi SC, Mahata S, Vishnoi K, Shukla S, Misra AP, Misra V, Hedau S, Mehotra R, Dwivedi M & Bharti AC (2014). Carcinogenic *Helicobacter pylori* in gastric pre-cancer and cancer lesions: Association with tobacco chewing. *World J Gastrenterol*, **20**, 6860–6868.
- Phukan RK, Zomawi E, Hazarika NC & Mahanta J (2005). Tobacco use and stomach cancer in Mizoram, India. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarters Prev*, 14, 1892–1896.
- Hussein NR (2010). Helicobacter pylori and gastric cancer in the Middle East: A new enigma? World J Gastroenterol, 16, 3226–3234.
- Ward MH, Sinha R, Heineman EF, Rothman N, Markin R, Weisenburger DD, Correa P & Zahm SH (1997). Risk of adenocarcinoma of the stomach and esophagus with meat cooking method and doneness preference. *Int J Cancer*, **71**, 14–19.
- Alipov G, Nakayama T, Nakashima M, Wen CY, Niino D, Kondo H, Pruglo Y & Sekine I (2005). Epstein-Barr virus-associated gastric carcinoma in Kazakhstan. *World J Gastroenterol*, 11, 27–30.
- Nan HM, Song YJ, Yun HY, Park JS & Kim H (2005). Effects of dietary intake and genetic factors on hypermethylation of the hMLH1 gene promoter in gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*, **11**, 3834–3841.
- 8. Zheng W & Lee SA (2009). Well-done meat intake, heterocyclic amine exposure, and cancer risk. *Nutrition and Cancer*, **61**, 437–446.
- Nguyen TL, Uchida T, Tsukamoto Y, Trinh DT, Ta L, Mai BH, Le SH, Thai KD, Ho DD, Hoang HH, Matsuhisa T, Okimoto T, Kodama M, Murakami K, Fujioka T, Yamaoka Y & Mariyama M (2010). *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastroduodenal diseases in Vietnam: a crosssectional, hospital-based study. *BMC Gastroenterology*, **10**, 114–120.
- 10. Terry PD, Lagergren J, Wolk A, Steineck G & Nyren O

(2003). Dietary intake of heterocyclic amines and cancers of the esophagus and gastric cardi. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **12**, 940–944.

- Kim J, Park S & Nam BH (2010). Gastric cancer and salt preference: a population-based cohort study in Korea. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 91, 1289–1293.
- Bhaskaran K, Douglas I, Forbes H, Silva IDS, Leon DA & Smeeth A (2014). Body mass index and risk of 22 specific cancers; a population based cohort study of 5.24 million UK adults. *Lancet*, **384**, 755–765.
- NCRP (National Cancer Registry Programme) (2010). Three year report of the population based cancer registries 2006-2008. (First report of 20 PBCRs in India), Bangalore, Indian Council of Medical Research Publication, 11, 71–78.
- 14. Malakar M, Devi KR, Phukan RK, Kaur T, Deka M, Puia L, Sailo L, Lalhmangaihi T, Barua D, Rajguru SK, Mahanta J & Narain K (2014). p53 codon 72 polymorphism interactions with dietary and tobacco related habits and risk of stomach cancer in Mizoram, India. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **15**, 717–723.
- Phukan RK, Narain K, Zomawia E, Hazarika NC & Mahanta J (2006). Dietary habits and stomach cancer in Mizoram, India. J Gastroenterol, 4, 418–424.
- Wynder EL & Wright G (1957). A study of tobacco carcinogenesis. I. The primary fractions. *Cancer*, 10, 255–271.
- Hoffmann D & Wynder EL (1970). A study on tobacco carcinogenesis. XI. Tumor initiators, tumor accelerators and tumor promoting activity of condensate fractions. *Cancer*, 27, 848–864.
- Talhout R, Schulz T, Florek E, Benthem JV, Wester P & Opperhulzen A (2011). Hazardous compounds in tobacco smoke. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 8, 613–628.
- Slattery ML, Potter JD, Friedman GD, MA KN & Edwards S (1997). Tobacco use and colon cancer. Int J Cancer, 70, 259–264.
- Wynder EL, Mushinski MH & Spivak JC (1977). Tobacco and alcohol consumption in relation to the development of multiple primary cancers, *Cancer*, 40, 1872-1878.
- 21. Siakia BJ, Das M, Sharma SK, Sekhon GS, Zomawia E, Singh YM, Mahanta J & Phukan RK (2014). Association of a p53 codon 72 gene polymorphism with environmental factors and risk of lung cancer; a case control study in Mizoram and Manipur, a high incidence region in North East India, *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **15**, 10653-10658.
- 22. Phukan RK, Borah PK, Saikia BJ, Das M, Sekhon GS & Mahanta J (2014). Interaction of tobacco smoking and chewing with angiotensin converting enzyme (insertion/ deletion) gene polymorphisms and risk f lung cancer in a high risk area from Northeast India, *Asian Pac J Cancer*

Prev, 15, 10691-10695.

- 23. Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, Boniol M, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P & Boyle P (2008). Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis, *Int J cancer*, **122**, 155-164.
- 24. Gupta PC & Ray CS (2003). Smokeless tobacco and health in India and South Asia, *Respirology*, **8**, 419-431.
- Mashberg A, Boffetta P, Winkelman R & Garfinkel L (1993). Tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking, and cancer of theoral cavity and oropharynx among U. S. veterans, *Cancer*, 72, 1639-1675.
- 26. Paul S & Amundson SA (2014). Differential effect of active smoking on gene expression in male and female smokers, *J Carcinog Mutagen*, 2014; 5: doi: 10.4172/2157-2518.1000198.
- 27. Li W, Deng J, Wang SS, Ma L, Pei J, Zeng XX & Tang JX (2014). Association of methyation of the RAR-β gene with cigarette smoking in non-small cell lung cancer with Southern-central Chinese Population, *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **15**, 10937-10941.

- Kurkalang S, Banerjee A, Ghoshal N, Dkhar H & Chatterjee A (2013). Induction of chromosome instability and stomach cancer by altering the expression pattern of mitotic checkpoint genes in mice exposed to areca-nut, *BMC Cancer*, 13, 315-326.
- Lu HH, Kao SY, Liu TY, Liu ST, Huang WP, Chang KW & Lin SC (2010). Areca nut extract induced oxidative stress and upregulated hypoxia inducing factor leading to autophagy in oral cancer cells, *Autophagy*, 6, 735-737.
- Merchant A, Husain SSM, Hosain M, Fikree FF, Pitiphat W, Siddiqui AR, Hayder SJ, Haider SM, Ikram M, Chuang SK & Saeed SA (2000). Paan without tobacco: an independent risk factor for oral cancer, *Int J Cancer*, 86, 128-131.
- 31. Chiang SL, Chen PH, Lee CH, Ko AMS, Lee KW, Lin YC, Ho PS, Tu HP, Wu DC, Shieh TY & Ko YC (2008). Up-regulation of inflammatory signalings by areca nut extract and role of Cyclooxygenase-2 -1195G>A polymorphism reveal risk of oral cancer. *Cancer Res*, 68, 8489–8498.